



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/038,003	01/03/2002	Mustafa Eroz	PD-980024A 8049	
75	90 08/02/2004		EXAM	NER
Hughes Electronics Corporation			BAKER, STEPHEN M	
Patent Docket Administration P.O. Box 956			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Bldg. 1, Mail Stop A109			2133	12
El Segundo, CA 90245-0956			DATE MAILED: 08/02/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

``						
`	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/038,003	EROZ ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner '	Art Unit				
	Stephen M. Baker	2133				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	_•					
· <u> </u>	action is non-final.					
) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 41-68 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) 54-67 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 41,49,50 and 53 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) 42-48,51,52 and 68 is/are objected to 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 12 April 2004 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the concept that the correction of the	☑ accepted or b)☐ objected to lead accepted to lead accepted to lead in abeyance. See it in it required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					

Art Unit: 2133

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: in line 1 of the amendment to page 4 at line 8, "it's" apparently should be 'its".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 68 is objected to because of the following informalities: "A receiver for processing coded signals, the receiver comprising" apparently should be "A transmitter for processing signals, the transmitter comprising".

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Application/Control Number: 10/038,003

Art Unit: 2133

4. Claims 41, 49, 50 and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 5,907,582 to Yi (hereafter Yi).

Yi discloses a turbo code encoder with rate-½ puncturing matrices for puncturing every other parity bit from each constituent coder. Such puncturing evidently would produce a better SNR than puncturing strings of parity bits consecutively from each encoder, e.g. transmitting only first constituent encoder parity bits for the first 100 parity bits, and then transmitting only second constituent encoder parity bits for the second 100 parity bits.

5. Claims 41, 49, 50 and 53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the published article "The Effects of Time Delay Spread on Turbo-TCM in a Wireless Communication Channel", written by Fei et al (hereafter Fei).

Fei discloses a turbo code encoder with rate-½ puncturing matrices (Table 1, code 1) for puncturing every other parity bit from each constituent coder. Such puncturing evidently would produce a better SNR than puncturing strings of parity bits consecutively from each encoder, e.g. transmitting only first constituent encoder parity bits for the first 100 parity bits, and then transmitting only second constituent encoder parity bits for the second 100 parity bits.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 54-67 are allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/038,003

Art Unit: 2133

7. Claims 42-48, 51 and 52 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen M. Baker whose telephone number is (703) 305-9681. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (11:00 AM - 7:30 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert DeCady can be reached on (703) 305-9595. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Stephen M. Baker Primary Examiner Page 4

Art Unit 2133

smb